

1
2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 David Lee Lamb,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 Charles L. Ryan, et al.,

13 Respondents.
14

No. CV-18-02569-PHX-RCC

ORDER

15 On November 25, 2019, Magistrate Judge D. Thomas Ferraro issued a Report and
16 Recommendation (“R&R”) in which he recommended the Court dismiss Petitioner
17 Alexander E. Smith’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254
18 (“Petition”). (Doc. 18.) The R&R notified the parties they had fourteen (14) days from
19 the date of the R&R to file any objections. No objections have been filed.


20 If neither party objects to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, the
21 District Court is not required to review the magistrate judge’s decision under any
22 specified standard of review. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). However, the
23 statute for review of a magistrate judge’s recommendation “does not preclude further
24 review by the district judge, *sua sponte* or at the request of a party, under a *de novo* or
25 any other standard.” *Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 154.

26 The Court has reviewed the Petition (Doc. 1), the Respondent’s Answer (Docs.
27 13-14), Petitioner’s Reply (Doc. 15), and Judge Ferraro’s R&R (Doc. 18). The Court
28 finds the R&R well-reasoned and agrees with Judge Ferraro’s conclusions.

1 IT IS ORDERED the R&R is ADOPTED (Doc. 18) and David Lee Lamb's
2 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is DENIED and
3 DISMISSED (Doc. 1). The Clerk of Court shall docket accordingly and close the case
4 file in this matter.

5 Dated this 19th day of December, 2019.

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



Honorable Raner C. Collins
Senior United States District Judge